

SIGNS OF SELF-DUAL DEPTH-ZERO SUPERCUSPIDAL REPRESENTATIONS

MANISH MISHRA

ABSTRACT. Let G be a quasi-split tamely ramified connected reductive group defined over a p -adic field F . We show that if -1 is in the F -points of the absolute Weyl group of G , then self-dual supercuspidal representations of $G(F)$ exist. Now assume further that G is unramified and that the center of G is connected. Let π be a generic self-dual depth-zero regular supercuspidal representation of $G(F)$. We show that the Frobenius–Schur indicator of π is given by the sign by which a certain distinguished element of the center of $G(F)$ of order two acts on π .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a group and let (τ, V) be an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{G}$. If τ is self-dual, i.e., it is isomorphic to its contragredient $\tilde{\tau}$, then there exists a non-degenerate $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant bilinear form $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is unique up to scalars. It is thus either symmetric or skew symmetric. The *sign* or the *Frobenius–Schur indicator* $\text{sgn}(\tau)$ of τ is defined to be $+1$ (resp. -1) according as B is symmetric (resp. skew-symmetric). When $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite group,

$$\text{sgn}(\tau) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{G}|} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_{\tau}(g^2),$$

where ω_{τ} denotes the character of τ . The expression on the right hand side of the above equality is zero when τ is not self-dual.

Now let G be a connected reductive group defined over a local or finite field F and let π be a smooth irreducible representation of $G(F)$. When π is self-dual and also generic, i.e., it admits a Whittaker model, D. Prasad introduced the idea of studying the sign by the action of certain order two element of the center $Z(F)$ of $G(F)$ [8, 9]. See [4, Conjecture 8.3] for a possible connection of this element with the Deligne–Langlands local root number.

Now let G be unramified, F be p -adic and let π be an irreducible regular depth-zero supercuspidal representation. Regular depth-zero supercuspidal representations are the ones which arise from certain Deligne–Lusztig cuspidal representations of finite reductive groups. These representations were studied by DeBacker

and Reeder [2]. Assuming further that G has connected center and that π is self-dual generic, we show in Theorem 4 that the sign of π is given by the central character ω_π evaluated at a certain order two element ϵ of the center of $G(F)$.

The main idea in the proof of Theorem 4 is to reduce the problem to a question about finite reductive groups and use Prasad's result in that setting. In Prasad's result, we first observe that the central element ϵ has an explicit description in terms of the root data. The assumption of the genericity of π is used to ensure - by a result of DeBacker and Reeder - that the finite reductive group in the inducing data of π has the same root system as G . We finally use Kaletha's description of regular depth-zero representations to relate $\omega_\pi(\epsilon)$ to the analogous element of the finite reductive group.

When G is quasi split and tamely ramified over F , we give in Theorem 5, a sufficient condition for self-dual supercuspidal representations of $G(F)$ to exist. We show that if -1 is in the F -points of the absolute Weyl group of G , then self-dual supercuspidal representations do exist. The proof uses Kaletha's description of regular supercuspidal representations [7] and Hakim-Murnaghan's result about dual Yu-datum [5].

2. NOTATIONS

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a reductive group over a local or finite field F . The central character of a representation π of $\mathcal{G}(F)$ will be denoted by ω_π . The contragradient of π will be denoted by $\tilde{\pi}$. If π is irreducible self-dual, then its Frobenius-Schur indicator will be denoted by $\text{sgn}(\pi)$. When F is non-archimedean local, we write $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, F)$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^{\text{red}}(\mathcal{G}, F)$) to denote the Bruhat-Tits building (resp. reduced Bruhat-Tits building) of $\mathcal{G}(F)$. We follow the standard notations (as in [7, Sec. 2] for instance) for parahoric subgroups of $\mathcal{G}(F)$ and their Moy-Prasad filtrations.

3. FINITE REDUCTIVE GROUP

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected reductive group defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. We assume that center $\mathbf{Z}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ is connected. Let $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -Borel subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$, where $\mathbf{U}$ is the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{T}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}$ contained in $\mathbf{B}$. We denote the adjoint torus by $\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}}$. The character lattice of $\mathbf{T}$ (resp. $\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}}$) will be denoted by $X^*(\mathbf{T})$ (resp. $X^*(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}})$).

Theorem 1 (Prasad). *There exists an element s_0 in $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that it operates by -1 on all the simple root spaces of $\mathbf{U}$. Further, $t_0 := s_0^2$ belongs to $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and t_0 acts on an irreducible, generic, self-dual representation by 1 iff the representation is orthogonal.*

From the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow T_{\text{ad}} \longrightarrow 1 ,$$

we get the long exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow Z(\mathbb{F}_q) \longrightarrow T(\mathbb{F}_q) \longrightarrow T_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma, Z) \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

Since Z is connected, $H^1(\Gamma, Z)$ is trivial by Lang's theorem. Therefore,

$$1 \longrightarrow Z(\mathbb{F}_q) \longrightarrow T(\mathbb{F}_q) \longrightarrow T_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact. Let $\check{\rho}$ denote half the sum of positive co-roots. Let s' be the element of $T_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \text{Hom}(X^*(T_{\text{ad}}), \mathbb{G}_m)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ given by

$$\chi \in X^*(T_{\text{ad}}) \mapsto (-1)^{\langle \chi, \check{\rho} \rangle} \in \mathbb{G}_m .$$

Let s denote any pull back of s' in $T(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then s operates by -1 on all simple root spaces of $\mathfrak{U}$. The element $t := s^2 \in Z(\mathbb{F}_q)$ has the description

$$\chi \in X^*(T) \mapsto (-1)^{\langle \chi, 2\check{\rho} \rangle} \in \mathbb{G}_m .$$

We can thus rewrite the above Theorem as

Theorem 1'. *Let π be an irreducible generic representation of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then $\text{sgn}(\pi) = \omega_\pi(t)$.*

Remark 2. The assumption in Theorem 1' that Z is connected cannot be entirely dropped, as shown in the counter example in [8, Sec. 9].

4. REGULAR DEPTH-ZERO REPRESENTATIONS

Let G be an unramified connected reductive group defined over a p -adic field F . Assume that the center Z of G is connected. Let $\mathfrak{f}$ denote the residue field of F .

4.1. Construction of regular depth-zero supercuspidal. For the definition of *regular* depth-zero supercuspidal representations and the details of the construction in this section, see [7, Sec. 3.2.3]. Let S be an elliptic maximal torus of G and let $\theta : S(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a depth-zero character. Let $S(F)_0$ be the Iwahori subgroup of $S(F)$. Assume that θ is *regular*, i.e., the stabilizer of $\theta|_{S(F)_0}$ in $N(S(F), G(F))/S(F)$ is trivial, where $N(S(F), G(F))$ denotes the normalizer of $S(F)$ in $G(F)$. The restriction of $\theta|_{S(F)_0}$ factors through a character $\bar{\theta}$ of $S(F)_{0:0+}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{B}^{\text{red}}(G, F)$ be the vertex associated to S . The group $G(F)_{0:0+}$ is the $\mathfrak{f}$ -points of a connected reductive $\mathfrak{f}$ -group $\mathbf{G}_x$ and $S(F)_{0:0+}$ is the $\mathfrak{f}$ -points of an elliptic maximal $\mathfrak{f}$ -torus $\mathbf{S}'$ of $\mathbf{G}_x$. Let $\kappa(S, \theta)$ denote the irreducible cuspidal Deligne-Lusztig representation of $\mathbf{G}_x(\mathfrak{f})$ associated to the pair $(\mathbf{S}', \bar{\theta})$. Denote again by $\kappa(S, \theta)$ its inflation to $G(F)_{x,0}$. This representation extends to a representation $\tilde{\kappa}(S, \theta)$ of $Z(F)G(F)_{x,0} = G(F)_x$.

Lemma 3. [7, Lemma 3.17, 3.22] *The representation $\pi(S, \theta) := \text{c-Ind}_{G(F)_x}^{G(F)} \tilde{\kappa}(S, \theta)$ is irreducible (and hence supercuspidal) and every regular depth-zero supercuspidal representation is of this form.*

4.2. Sign. Choose a system of positive roots $\Phi^+(G, S)$ for the set of roots $\Phi(G, S)$. Let $\check{\rho}$ denote half the sum of positive roots and let t denote the element $2\check{\rho}(-1) \in Z(F)$ (see [4, Sec. 8.5]).

Theorem 4. *Let π be a generic regular depth-zero self-dual supercuspidal representation of $G(F)$. Then $\text{sgn}(\pi) = \omega_\pi(t)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3, the representation π arises out of a pair (S, θ) as in Section 4.1. Let $x \in \mathcal{B}^{\text{red}}(G, F)$ be the vertex associated to S . The normalizer in $G(F)$ of $G(F)_{x,0}$ is $G(F)_x$ [10, Lemma 3.3]. Therefore $G(F)_x$ is self normalizer. Since $\tilde{\pi} = \text{c-Ind}_{G(F)_x}^{G(F)} \tilde{\kappa}$, $\pi \cong \tilde{\pi}$ iff there exists $g \in G(F)$ such that $(G(F)_x, \tilde{\kappa})$ is conjugate by an element $g \in G(F)$ to the pair $(G(F)_x, \tilde{\kappa})$ (by [5, Theorem 6.7] for instance without any hypothesis). But then $g \in G(F)_x$ since $G(F)$ is self normalizer. Therefore, $\pi(S, \theta)$ is self-dual iff $\tilde{\kappa}(S, \theta)$ is so. Thus, $\text{sgn}(\pi) = \text{sgn}(\tilde{\kappa})$. Since $\pi(S, \theta)$ is generic, the vertex x associated to S is hyperspecial [3, Theorem 1.1] (also [2, Lemma 6.1.2]). Therefore the root system $\Phi(G, S)$ can be identified with $\Phi(\mathbb{G}_x, S')$. Let $\Phi^+(\mathbb{G}_x, S')$ be the positive roots of $\Phi(\mathbb{G}_x, S')$ under the identification. Let $\check{\rho}$ be half the sum of positive roots of $\Phi(\mathbb{G}_x, S')$ and $\underline{t} = 2\check{\rho}(-1) \in \mathbb{Z}_x(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Since x is hyperspecial, $\mathbb{Z}$ is connected implies $\mathbb{Z}_x$ is connected. Also, $\kappa(S, \theta)$ is generic [2, Lemma 6.1.2]. We therefore have by Theorem 1' that $\text{sgn}(\tilde{\kappa}) = \omega_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\underline{t})$. But $\omega_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\underline{t}) = \bar{\theta}(\underline{t}) = \theta(t)$. The Theorem now follows because $\omega_\pi = \theta|_{Z(F)}$ by [7, Fact 3.38]. $\square$

5. EXISTENCE OF SELF-DUAL REPRESENTATIONS

Let G be a quasi-split tamely ramified connected reductive group over a p -adic field F . Let $\Omega(S, G)$ be the absolute weyl group. In [6, Sec. 3.2.1], a vertex $x \in \mathcal{B}^{\text{red}}(G, F)$ is called *superspecial* if it is special in $\mathcal{B}^{\text{red}}(G, E)$, where E is any finite Galois extension of F splitting G .

Theorem 5. *If $-1 \in \Omega(S, G)(F)$, then self-dual supercuspidal representations of $G(F)$ exist.*

Proof. Let (S, θ) be a tame regular elliptic pair [7, Def. 3.23] such that S is *relatively unramified* [7, Sec. 3.2.1] and the point $x \in \mathcal{B}^{\text{red}}(G, F)$ associated to S is superspecial. Let $\pi(S, \theta)$ be the associated regular supercuspidal representation. By [5, Theorem 4.25], $\tilde{\pi}(S, \theta) \cong \pi(S, \theta^{-1})$. By [7, Lemma 3.37], $\pi(S, \theta) \cong \pi(S, \theta^{-1})$ iff (S, θ) is $G(F)$ -conjugate to (S, θ^{-1}) . By [7, Lemma 3.11] $\Omega(S, G)(F) \cong N(S, G)(F)/S(F)$, where $N(S, G)$ denotes the normalizer of S in G . Thus if $-1 \in \Omega(S, G)(F)$, then it follows that (S, θ) is $G(F)$ -conjugate to (S, θ^{-1}) . $\square$

Remark 6. If the root system of G is of type B_n , C_n , E_7 , E_8 , G_2 or D_n (n -even), then the longest weyl group element of G is -1 .

Remark 7. When $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, Adler [1] showed that the necessary and sufficient condition for self-dual regular supercuspidal representations of $G(F)$ to exist is that either n or the residue characteristic of F be even.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is very thankful to Sandeep Varma, Dipendra Prasad and Steven Spallone for many helpful conversations.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. D. Adler. Self-contragredient supercuspidal representations of GL_n . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 125(8):2471–2479, 1997.
- [2] S. DeBacker and M. Reeder. Depth-zero supercuspidal L -packets and their stability. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 169(3):795–901, 2009.
- [3] S. DeBacker and M. Reeder. On some generic very cuspidal representations. *Compos. Math.*, 146(4):1029–1055, 2010.
- [4] B. H. Gross and M. Reeder. Arithmetic invariants of discrete Langlands parameters. *Duke Math. J.*, 154(3):431–508, 2010.
- [5] J. Hakim and F. Murnaghan. Distinguished tame supercuspidal representations. *Int. Math. Res. Pap. IMRP*, (2):Art. ID rpn005, 166, 2008.
- [6] T. Kaletha. Genericity and contragredience in the local Langlands correspondence. *Algebra Number Theory*, 7(10):2447–2474, 2013.
- [7] T. Kaletha. Regular supercuspidal representations. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2016.
- [8] D. Prasad. On the self-dual representations of finite groups of Lie type. *J. Algebra*, 210(1):298–310, 1998.
- [9] D. Prasad. On the self-dual representations of a p -adic group. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (8):443–452, 1999.
- [10] J.-K. Yu. Construction of tame supercuspidal representations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14(3):579–622 (electronic), 2001.

E-mail address: manish@iiserpune.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH (IISER), DR. HOMI BHABHA ROAD, PASHAN, PUNE 411 008 INDIA